

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BRIAN RITCHIE, individually and d/b/a  
VIOLENT FEMMES,

Plaintiff,

-v-

GORDON GANO, individually and d/b/a GORNO  
MUSIC a/k/a GORNO MUSIC (ASCAP) a/k/a  
GORNO MUSIC PUBLISHING,

Defendants.

No. 07-CIV-7269 (VM)

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
THE REPLY ON PLAINTIFF'S  
EMERGENCY MOTION TO  
DISQUALIFY OPPOSING  
COUNSEL IN EXCESS OF PAGE  
LIMIT**

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES C. FRANCIS:

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7.1, which gives the Court discretion over the need and format for memoranda of law in support of motions and oppositions thereto, Plaintiff Brian Ritchie, individually and d/b/a Violent Femmes ("Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned attorneys, moves the Court for leave to file the Reply On Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Disqualify Opposing Counsel (the "Reply") in excess of the ten (10) page limit for reply memoranda set by Rule 2(C) of the Individual Practices of United States Magistrate Judge James C. Francis. In support thereof, Plaintiff respectfully shows the Court as follows:

1. Defendant Gordon Gano, individually and d/b/a Gorno Music a/k/a Gorno Music (ASCAP) a/k/a Gorno Music Publishing ("Defendant"), has submitted a Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Disqualify Opposing Counsel (the "Response").
2. The Response is a thirty-six (36) page document that responds to Plaintiff's Emergency Motion to Disqualify Opposing Counsel (the "Motion") – a motion that addresses the very discrete procedural and ethical issue of whether opposing counsel may continue to

participate in this suit as trial counsel for Defendant – by including tangential arguments about the merits and substantive issues of the above-captioned case. Plaintiff must separate such tangential arguments from Defendant's arguments against the Motion before Plaintiff may address the same.

3. In addition, the Response presents contradictory or otherwise questionable evidence and raises new legal issues (e.g., whether Plaintiff has standing to move for the disqualification of opposing counsel) that must be addressed by Plaintiff.

4. To adequately reply to the foregoing, it is necessary for Plaintiff to exceed the Court's ten (10) page limit by six (6) pages (excluding exhibits), which includes the Table of Contents. Accordingly, Plaintiff respectfully requests leave of the Court to file the Reply in excess of the Court's page limit.

5. Opposing counsel has neither objected nor consented to this motion.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests leave of the Court to file the Reply in excess of the page limit, and for any other relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

**JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.**

By: /s/ Emilio B. Nicolas  
Lawrence A. Waks  
Daniel Scardino\*  
Emilio B. Nicolas  
100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1100  
Austin, Texas 78701  
Tel.: (512) 236-2000  
Fax.: (512) 236-2002  
Email: [lwaks@jw.com](mailto:lwaks@jw.com), [dscardino@jw.com](mailto:dscardino@jw.com),  
[enicolas@jw.com](mailto:enicolas@jw.com)  
(\*admitted *pro hac vice*)

ATTORNEYS FOR BRIAN RITCHIE,  
individually and d/b/a VIOLENT FEMMES

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2008, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served by e-mail upon the following counsel of record:

Robert S. Meloni  
Thomas P. McCaffrey  
MELONI & McCAFFREY, P.C.  
1350 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 3100  
New York, New York 10019

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT GORDON  
GANO, individually and d/b/a GORNO MUSIC  
a/k/a GORNO MUSIC (ASCAP) a/k/a GORNO  
MUSIC PUBLISHING

/s/ Emilio B. Nicolas

Emilio B. Nicolas